

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/072,331	MACKENZIE ET AL.
	Examiner Ronald Baum	Art Unit 2136

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ronald Baum.

(3) _____.

(2) William Lewis.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 July 2006

Time: 13:00

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1,5,6,11,13,14,30,33

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed with the applicants representative Mr. Lewis the need to patently distinguish the applicant's invention by incorporating the limitations of dependent claim 4 into the independent claims 1,5,6,11,13,14,30 and 33. Agreement was reached on the language of such an examiner amendment..